IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) c/o Department of Justice) Washington, D.C. 20530,)	
Plaintiff,	
)	
v. ,	Civil Action No. 96 0606
)	Entered: April 10, 1996
AUTOMATIC DATA PROCESSING, INC.)	Filed: April 10, 1996
One ADP Boulevard)	
Roseland, New Jersey 07068-1728,	NANCY MAYER-WHITTINGTON, CLERK
Defendant.)	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
)	

STIPULATION

It is stipulated by and between the undersigned parties, by their respective attorneys, that:

- (1) the parties consent that the Court may file and enter a Final Judgment in the form attached to this Stipulation, on the Court's own motion or on the motion of any party at any time, and without further notice to any party or other proceedings, if Plaintiff has not withdrawn its consent, which it may do at any time before the entry of judgment by serving notice of its withdrawal on Defendant Automatic Data Processing, Inc. and filing that notice with the Court;
- (2) the Defendant Automatic Data Processing, Inc. waives any objection to venue for purposes of this Final Judgment;

- (3) in the event Plaintiff withdraws its consent or if the proposed Final Judgment is not entered pursuant to this Stipulation, this Stipulation shall be of not effect whatever and the making of this Stipulation shall be without prejudice to any party in this or any other proceeding; and
- (4) the parties' execution of this Stipulation and the entry of the Final Judgment settles, discharges, and releases any and all claims of the plaintiff:
 - (a) against the Defendant Automatic Data Processing, Inc. for failure to comply with any provision of § 7A of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18a, arising from Defendant Automatic Data Processing, Inc.'s 1995 acquisition of AutoInfo, Inc. assets and for failure to comply with § 7A of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18a, by Defendant Automatic Data Processing, Inc. failing to submit documents responsive to Item 4(c) in any Premerger Notification and Report Form filed on or before January 1, 1996;
 - (b) against any officer or director of the Defendant Automatic Data Processing, Inc. for failure to comply with any provision of § 7A of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18a, arising from Defendant Automatic Data Processing, Inc.'s 1995 acquisition of AutoInfo, Inc. assets and for failure to comply with § 7A of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18a, by failing to submit documents responsive to Item 4(c) in any Premerger Notification Report Form filed by Defendant Automatic Data Processing, Inc. on or before January 1, 1996.

Dated: March 25, 1996.

FOR THE DEFENDANT	
AUTOMATIC DATA PROCESSING, INC.	
/s/	
James B. Benson	
General Counsel/Corporate Vice President	
Automatic Data Processing, Inc.	
One ADP Boulevard	
Roseland, New Jersey 07068	
(201) 994-5000	
FOR THE PLAINTIFF UNITED STATES	
OF AMERICA:	
/s/	/s/
Anne K. Bingaman	William J. Baer
Assistant Attorney General	Director
	Bureau of Competition
/s/	/s/
Lawrence Fullerton	George S. Cary
Deputy Assistant Attorney General	Senior Deputy Director
	Bureau of Competition
/s/	/s/
Constance K. Robinson	M. Howard Morse
Director of Operations	Assistant Director
	Bureau of Competition
/s/	/s/ Eric D. Rohlck
Robert A. Potter	Eric D. Rohlck
Chief, Legal Policy Section	Attorney
	Bureau of Competition
/s/	/s/
Jack Sidorov	Kenneth M. Davidson
Attorney	Attorney
	Bureau of Competition
Department of Justice	Federal Trade Commission
Antitrust Division	Washington, D.C. 20580
Washington, D.C. 20530	(202) 326-2681